



**DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY**

ODOT0000-0526

MS: 20

Meeting Date: 4/19/07

Issue Date: 7/12/07

*The contents of this summary are assumed correct unless our office is notified in writing within five calendar days of receipt.*

**DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY-EMT #19**

**Project:** I-5 to 99W Connector  
**Purpose:** Executive Management Team Meeting  
**Location:** Metro Council Chambers

**Attendees:**

<b>EMT</b>	Andy Cotugno	Metro
	Danielle Cowan	City of Wilsonville (alternate)
	Rob Dixon	City of Sherwood
	Fred Eberle	ODOT
	Jeff Graham	FHWA
	Mike McKillip	City of Tualatin
	Lawrence Odell	Washington County (EMT Chair)
	R. Scott Pemble	Clackamas County
	Mike Stone	City of Wilsonville
<b>PMT</b>	Vaughn Brown	Jeanne Lawson Associates (JLA)
	Alex Dupey	DEA
	Amy Gibbons	ODOT
	Leslie Howell	Howell Consulting
	Angie Jones	DEA
	Russell Knoebel	Washington County (PMT Chair)
	Scott Richman	DEA
	Mark Turpel	Metro
	Tim Wilson	ODOT
<b>Others</b>	Tom Aufenthie	SWG

**Distribution:** PMT, EMT, Interested Parties, File

The meeting began at 9:40 AM. The agenda and other materials were provided to EMT members in electronic format prior to the meeting and copies were distributed at the meeting. The agenda items are represented in "bold" headings.

- **Welcome and Introductions**

- Lawrence welcomed the group and Scott introduced Alex Dupey to the EMT.
- Lawrence summarized the PSC meeting actions from the April 11<sup>th</sup> meeting.

- **Alternatives Development Approach and Timeline**

- Scott gave a brief overview of the PSC decision to move beyond the conceptual elements.
- The elements remaining will be refined into alternatives.
- Scott mentioned the discussion about element 7, and how it provides a direct connection to I-205, and how it will help us to formulate alternatives, possibly working on an alternative south and using collector distributor roads.
- Scott talked about Mayor Lehan's presentation, and he said we will be looking at different alternatives and we will be testing various routes and travel times.
- Lawrence said there was a challenge in the PSC discussion about what to take off and what to leave on the table, and what it came down to was a cost benefit.
- Danielle said her observation was although we think it is not reasonable, it may be the most beneficial to have a direct connection to address this project affectively. She feels it needs to be a base point to have this alternative in. She thought it was interesting how it is all about impacts, but to avoid the impacts, the road may be inefficient.
- Lawrence referred to the "Hosticka model". Scott explained Carl's concept, and said the solution should not preclude that type of connection.
- Scott said we are working on the transportation baseline report right now, and asked how many copies each EMT member would like for their jurisdictions. Danielle asked if there would be a posted link to the report available on the ftp site. DEA will distribute copies and post the link today or tomorrow.
- Mike commented that his impression of the meeting was a positive one, the PSC was engaged. He would not hesitate to go back to them to ask for feedback, even if we are not at a point of decision in the process. It could be very advantageous to treat it as a work session.
- Rob said he has the same observation as Mike, and how it seemed to be a good work session meeting.
- Lawrence mentioned the color coding, and how it will be removed from the remainder of the process.
- Scott spoke about our progression in the eight step process. He said this will be a big crunch period for us technically as we begin to develop the range of alternatives.
- He summarized the change in definition of "Connector", as modified by the PSC on April 11<sup>th</sup> (addition of the "parkway" term)
- Scott presented the TDM/TSM presentation, and explained the definitions of each. Lawrence clarified that we are using the same definitions that the RTP uses. Tim confirmed that is accurate.
- Scott explained the different types of TDM measures under considerations. He explained the parking pricing table of comparative areas and the pricing associated with each area.
- Andy said it is important to recognize that the price affects behavior, the only way to affect the behavior is to do it through price.

## DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY

ODOT0000-0526

MS: 20

Meeting Date: 4/19/07

Issue Date: 7/12/07

- Lawrence asked if we should asterisk some of these prices to explain them. R. Scott Pemble asked if it would be more valuable to present this information in a summary format to the public.
  - Andy said it is the program you are evaluating, not the model you are evaluating. The program needs to be explained to the public. Danielle asked about how you model carpooling, etc. Scott said there is a mode split factor.
  - Scott talked about transit pass subsidies, and showed a current forecasting model assumptions table.
  - Lawrence asked what the major impact would be to deal with
  - Scott explained how a more updated model (2035) might be used.
  - Scott went over the upcoming EMT schedule and how it will impact our project progress in vetting the alternatives through the process
  - Looking at an August action meeting for the PSC.
  - Fred asked if we will come to them with interim information beforehand, Scott said yes, it may be one or more meetings depending on their availability.
  - Danielle asked what decision point they would be making this summer. Scott said it would be the range of alternatives, and making sure that a reasonable range of solutions is being covered. He said we will have travel models, times, speeds, etc.
  - Lawrence clarified that there would be a handful of alternatives that will stem from the 16 concepts.
  - Scott emphasized the importance of keeping the EMT meeting dates on calendars as we progress into the refinement of the ROA.
  - He said we will be looking at corridors about 1,000 feet wide between I-5 and 99W, and they would widen at the interchange points.
  - R. Scott Pemble said we need to set expectations about how detailed the ROA are when they are presented.
  - Jeff G. said he agreed with R. Scott, and we need to nail down where these interchanges will be possible, how it will connect, and how it will affect existing interchanges and traffic patterns (existing system)
  - Scott said that getting into the ROA there will be some testing that will need to be done, but the level of detail will vary accordingly.
  - Mike has concern about level of detail before presenting the range of alternatives, (i.e. knowing if an interchange will work in this location, etc.), so we present what is feasible and not retract later to say there are issues.
  - Vaughn gave a summary on public involvement – gave a brief summary of SWG meetings as they will fall into place with the ROA screening. He spoke about information on the web, and updates. He also mentioned supplementing information with communicating with local jurisdictions. He will have a working schedule of the public outreach at the next EMT meeting.
  - Lawrence said we are going to be looking at hiring some additional public involvement assistance. They will be involved with keeping materials updated, and developing additional tools to bring forward information. We are not looking to sell a specific direction of the project, but just keeping information updated.
- **Other business**
    - The next EMT meeting is scheduled to be held May 3rd 9:30 am -11:30 am.